## ecRad radiation scheme: User Guide

Robin J. Hogan

European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasts, Reading, UK

Document version 1.5 (June 2022) applicable to ecRad version 1.5.x

# **Contents**

1	Intr	roduction	2
	1.1	What is ecRad?	2
	1.2	Overview of this document	2
2	Usin	ng the offline radiation scheme	3
	2.1	Compiling the package	3
	2.2	Running the offline radiation scheme	4
		2.2.1 Input file format	5
		2.2.2 Output file format	6
	2.3	Configuring the radiation scheme	7
		2.3.1 Configuring aerosol optical properties	10
		2.3.2 Configuring surface albedo and emissivity	
		2.3.3 Configuring cloud optical properties	11
		2.3.4 Configuring the gas-optics model	
	2.4	Configuring the offline package	12
	2.5	Describing cloud structure	13
	2.6	Checking the configuration.	
3	Inco	orporating ecRad into another program	20

# **Chapter 1**

## Introduction

#### 1.1 What is ecRad?

ecRad is an atmospheric radiation scheme designed for computing profiles of solar (or shortwave) and thermal infrared (or longwave) irradiances from the surface up to the middle mesosphere. It is incorporated into the Integrated Forecasting System (IFS), the weather forecast model used operationally by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF), in which it is used to compute radiative heating and cooling rates of the atmosphere and surface. It is also used operationally by the German Weather Service in the ICON model. An offline version of the scheme is available under the open source Apache License, version 2, from these locations:

- https://confluence.ecmwf.int/display/ECRAD
- https://github.com/ecmwf-ifs/ecrad

A scientific overview of *ecRad* was provided by Hogan and Bozzo (2018), with a little more technical information available from Hogan and Bozzo (2016). Two gas-optics models are available: the Rapid Radiative Transfer Model for GCMs (RRTMG; Iacono et al., 2008), and the ECMWF correlated *k*-distribution scheme (ecCKD; Hogan and Matricardi, 2022). Three different solvers capable of representing the effects of subgrid cloud structure are available: McICA (Pincus et al., 2003), Tripleclouds (Shonk and Hogan, 2008) and SPARTACUS (Hogan et al., 2016). The treatment of cloud and aerosol optical properties is easily extensible. It is coded in Fortran 2003 in a way that is efficient, but also flexible in the sense that the solver and the optical models for clouds, aerosols and gases can be changed independently.

#### 1.2 Overview of this document

Chapter 2 describes how to compile and use the offline version of *ecRad*, which is essentially a Unix program that reads a configuration file and a NetCDF file containing a description of the atmospheric state, and outputs a NetCDF file containing the computed irradiance profiles. Chapter 3 describes how to incorporate *ecRad* into a larger Fortran program, such as an atmospheric model. At some future date this document will be expanded to include chapters describing the internal architecture and the detailed scientific documentation.

# Chapter 2

# Using the offline radiation scheme

### 2.1 Compiling the package

The offline version of *ecRad* is designed to be used on a Unix-like platform. You will need a Fortran compiler that supports the 2003 standard, such as <code>gfortran</code>. As a prerequisite, you will need to install the NetCDF library, including the Fortran interface (packages to install on a Linux system are typically called <code>libnetcdff-dev</code> or <code>libnetcdff-devel</code>). To run some of the tests, you will also need to install the NCO utilities for manipulating NetCDF Files.

First download and unpack the package, then enter the subdirectory; if you download the package from the ecRad web page then do this:

```
tar xvfz ecrad-1.x.y.tar.gz cd ecrad-1.x.y
```

On a non-GNU platform you may need to untar and unzip the package using the tar and gunzip commands separately. If you want the most recent snapshot from GitHub then do the following:

```
git clone https://github.com/ecmwf-ifs/ecrad.git
cd ecrad
```

The README.md file contains concise instructions on compilation and testing, while the NOTICE file outlines the license conditions. The subdirectories are as follows:

radiation The ecRad souce code for atmospheric radiation

ifsaux Source code providing a (sometimes dummy) IFS environment

ifsrrtm The IFS implementation of the RRTMG gas optics scheme

utilities Source code for useful utilities, such as reading NetCDF files

driver The source code for the offline driver program ecrad

drhook Optional profiling and debugging support library used by the IFS

**ifs** Source files from the IFS that are used to illustrate how *ecRad* can be incorporated into a large model, but note that these files are not used in the offline version

mod Where Fortran module files are written

1ib Where the static libraries are written

bin Where the executable ecrad is written

data Contains configuration data files read at run-time

test Test cases including Matlab code to plot the outputs

include Automatically generated interface blocks for non-module routines

**practical** Practical exercises to help new users become familiar with *ecRad* as well as learning something about atmospheric radiative transfer

Compilation on different platforms using different compilers is facilitated by the various Makefile\_include.<prof> files in the top-level directory: if you type

```
make
```

or

```
make PROFILE=gfortran
```

the code will be compiled using the gfortran compiler via the Makefile variables set in the Makefile\_include.gfortran file. Using instead PROFILE=pgi will use the Makefile\_include.pgi file to attempt to compile with the PGI compiler. If everything goes to plan this should create the executable bin/ecrad and various static libraries in the lib directory.

One common reason the code doesn't compile out of the box is that it can't find the NetCDF library files. Since version 1.2.0, the *ecRad* Makefile uses the nf-config script that comes with recent versions of the NetCDF library to create the Makefile variables NETCDF\_INCLUDE and NETCDF\_LIB. If nf-config is not available on your system, or it fails to correctly locate the NetCDF library files, then the cleanest way to fix this is to create a Makefile\_include.local file that defines NETCDF\_INCLUDE and NETCDF\_LIB explicity to contain arguments for the compile and link operations, respectively. Suppose you installed NetCDF in /path/to/netcdf and you use the gfortran compiler then your file might contain:

```
include Makefile_include.gfortran
NETCDF = /path/to/netcdf
NETCDF_INCLUDE = -I$ (NETCDF) / include
NETCDF_LIB = -L$ (NETCDF) / lib -lnetcdff -lnetcdf -Wl,-rpath,$ (NETCDF) / lib
```

You should then be able to build the code with

```
make PROFILE=local
```

Examples of such configurations for the ECMWF and University of Reading computer systems may be found in Makefile\_include.ecmwf and Makefile\_include.uor.

To compile in single precision, type

```
make PROFILE=gfortran SINGLE_PRECISION=1
```

To compile with debugging options (no optimization, bounds checking and initializing real numbers with not-anumber), type

```
make PROFILE=gfortran DEBUG=1
```

Finer tuning may be achieved by specifying the optimization and debugging flags explicitly, for example

```
make PROFILE=gfortran OPTFLAGS="-01" DEBUGFLAGS="-g1 -pg"
```

Remember that if you change the compile settings you will probably want to recompile everything, in which case you first need to remove all compiled files with

```
make clean
```

## 2.2 Running the offline radiation scheme

The easiest and most fun way to become familiar with how to use *ecRad* is to do the practical exercises: enter the practical directory, read the ecrad\_practical.pdf document there and follow the instructions. You will learn about how changes to atmospheric gases provide the radiative forcing that drives climate change, uncertainties in the representation of clouds in radiation schemes, and the impact of aerosols on surface fluxes and atmospheric heating rates.

To quickly test the code is compiled correctly, type

make test

which runs make in each of the subdirectories of the test directory. The README files in these directories provide more information on what they are doing, and some Matlab scripts are provided to visualize the outputs. You will see in the output of the tests the command line in each invocation of *ecRad*, which is of the form

```
ecrad config.nam input.nc output.nc
```

where ecrad needs to be the full path to the *ecRad* executable, config.nam is a Fortran namelist file configuring the code, input.nc contains the input atmospheric profiles and output.nc contains the output irradiance (flux) profiles. The namelist file contains a radiation namelist that configures the *ecRad* scheme itself; the parameters available are described in section 2.3. The file also contains a radiation\_config namelist that configures aspects of the offline package, described in section 2.4. Only the radiation namelist is used when *ecRad* is incorporated into an atmospheric model.

#### 2.2.1 Input file format

The input NetCDF file contains numerous floating-point variables listed in Table 2.1. The dimensions are shown in the order that they are listed by the ncdump utility, with the first dimension varying slowest in the file (opposite to the Fortran convention). Most variables are stored as a function of column and level (dimensions named col and level in Table 2.1, although the actual dimension names are ignored by ecRad). The half\_level dimension corresponds to the mid-points of the levels, plus the top-of-atmosphere and surface, and so must be one more than level. The level\_interface dimension excludes the top-of-atmosphere and surface so must be one less than level. The optional sw\_albedo\_band and lw\_emiss\_band dimensions allow for shortwave albedo and longwave emissivity to be specified in user-defined spectral intervals. Some variables can be omitted in which case default values will be used or these fields will be constructed according to radiation\_config namelist parameters (section 2.4).

Table 2.1: Main variables contained in the input NetCDF file to ecRad. Note that some variables are not required if they are not used by the particular solver selected, for example iseed is only used by the McICA solver and inv\_cloud\_effective\_size is only used by the SPARTACUS solver. Also, only one of o3\_mmr and o3\_vmr should be provided. In addition to ozone, further gases can be specified in either mass mixing ratio (suffix \_mmr) or volume mixing ratio (suffix \_vmr) units, where the prefixes are co2 (carbon dioxide), n2o (nitrous oxide), co (carbon monoxide), ch4 (methane), o2 (molecular oxygen), cfc11 (CFC-11), cfc12 (CFC-12), hcfc22 (HCFC-22), cc14 (carbon tetrachloride) and no2 (nitrogen dioxide). These further trace gases may either be specified as variable in space (dimensioned col, level) or constant (a scalar value in the file). To override the suffix indicating volume mixing ratio (e.g. to change it to \_mole\_fraction), set the namelist variable vmr\_suffix\_str as described in Table 2.4.

Variable	Dimensions	Description
solar_irradiance	_	Solar irradiance at Earth's orbit (W m <sup>-2</sup> )
skin_temperature	col	Skin temperature (K)
cos_solar_zenith_angle	col	Cosine of solar zenith angle
sw_albedo	col, sw_albedo_band	Shortwave albedo (if 1D then assumed spectrally constant)
lw_emissivity	col, lw_emiss_band	Longwave emissivity (if 1D then assumed spectrally
		constant)
iseed	col	Seed for McICA random-number generator (double
		precision, default: 1, 2, 3)
pressure_hl	col, half_level	Pressure at half levels (Pa)
temperature_hl	col, half_level	Temperature at half levels (K)
q or h2o_mmr	col, level	Specific humidity (kg kg <sup>-1</sup> )
h2o_vmr	col, level	Water vapour volume mixing ratio (mol mol <sup>-1</sup> )
o3_mmr	col, level	Ozone mass mixing ratio (kg kg <sup>-1</sup> )
o3_vmr	col, level	Ozone volume mixing ratio (mol $mol^{-1}$ ), used only if
		o3_mmr not provided
aerosol_mmr	col, aer_type, level	Aerosol mass mixing ratio (kg kg <sup>-1</sup> )
q_liquid	col, level	Liquid cloud mass mixing ratio (kg kg <sup>-1</sup> )

q_ice	col, level	Ice cloud mass mixing ratio (kg kg <sup>-1</sup> )
q_hydrometeor	hydro, col, level	Hydrometeor mass mixing ratio (kg kg <sup>-1</sup> ), alternative to
		q_liquid and q_ice
re_liquid	col, level	Liquid cloud effective radius (m)
re_ice	col, level	Ice cloud effective radius (m)
re_hydrometeor	hydro, col, level	Hydrometeor effective radius (m), alternative to
		re_liquid and re_ice
cloud_fraction	col, level	Cloud fraction
overlap_param	col, level_interface	Cloud overlap parameter (default: compute from
		decorrelation length of 2 km)
fractional_std	col, level	Fractional standard deviation of cloud optical depth
		(default 0)
inv_cloud_effective_size	col, level	Inverse of cloud effective horizontal size for SPARTACUS
		solver $(m^{-1})$
inv_inhom_effective_size	col, level	Inverse of effective horizontal size of cloud
		inhomogeneities, for SPARTACUS solver (m <sup>-1</sup> )
		<pre>(default: same as inv_cloud_effective_size)</pre>
inv_cloud_effective_separation	col, level	Alternative input to SPARTACUS if
		$inv\_cloud\_effective\_size$ not present $(m^{-1})$
inv_inhom_effective_separation	col, level	Alternative input to SPARTACUS if
		inv_inhom_effective_size not present $(m^{-1})$

Cloud properties may be specified either via q\_liquid, q\_ice, re\_liquid and re\_ice, but to support additional hydrometeor species (e.g. rain and graupel) you should instead use q\_hydrometer and re\_hydrometeor. Typically the first two hydrometeor types contain liquid and ice properties, and this will be assumed if you have the older setting of use\_general\_cloud\_optics=false.

All the test data in the ecRad package store input fields in order of increasing pressure, i.e. starting at the topof-atmosphere and working down to the surface. The output data are then provided using the same convention. If input data are provided in the opposite order then this should be automatically detected and under the bonnet the order is reversed before being passed to the radiation scheme. But if you use this convention then please test the results carefully as this option is not regularly tested. The variables describing cloud properties, particularly sub-grid cloud struture, are defined in detail in section 2.5.

#### 2.2.2 Output file format

The output NetCDF file contains the typical set of variables listed in Table 2.2. Clear-sky fluxes (i.e. computed on the same input profiles but in the absence of clouds) are provided if the do\_clear namelist parameter is set to true (see section 2.3). If you need diagnostic downward fluxes at the surface for just a subset of the spectrum (e.g. ultraviolet or photosynthetically active radiation) then they can be computed from the spectral\_flux\_dn\_\* variables, activated if namelist variable do\_surface\_sw\_spectral\_flux is set to true. In some contexts it is also useful to have fluxes in each of the shortwave albedo or longwave emissivity spectral intervals. These are named canopy\_flux\_dn\_\* and are activated if do\_canopy\_fluxes\_sw or do\_canopy\_fluxes\_lw are set to true. Note that if you want atmospheric heating rates then you will need to compute them yourself from the flux profiles.

Table 2.2: Variables contained in the output NetCDF file from ecRad, where all fluxes (or irradiances) have units of W m $^{-2}$ . The band\_sw dimension has the same size as the number of shortwave bands in the gas-optics scheme.

Variable	Dimensions	Description
pressure_hl	col, half_level	Pressure at half levels (Pa)
flux_up_sw, flux_dn_sw	col, half_level	Up- and downwelling shortwave fluxes
flux_up_sw_clear, flux_dn_sw_clear	col, half_level	Up- and downwelling clear-sky shortwave fluxes
flux_dn_direct_sw	col, half_level	Direct component of downwelling shortwave flux
flux_dn_direct_sw_clear	col, half_level	Direct component of downwelling clear-sky
		shortwave flux
flux_up_lw, flux_dn_lw	col, half_level	Up- and down-welling longwave fluxes

flux_up_lw_clear, flux_dn_lw_clear	col,	half_level	Up- and down-welling clear-sky longwave fluxes
lw_derivative	col,	half_level	Derivative of upwelling longwave flux with respect to surface value (Hogan and Bozzo, 2015)
spectral_flux_dn_sw_surf	col,	band_sw	Downwelling surface shortwave flux in each band
spectral_flux_dn_direct_sw_surf	col,	band_sw	Direct downwelling surface shortwave flux in each band
spectral_flux_dn_sw_surf_clear	col,	band_sw	Clear-sky downwelling surface shortwave flux in each band
spectral_flux_dn_direct_sw_surf_clear	col,	band_sw	Clear-sky direct downwelling surface shortwave flux in each band
canopy_flux_dn_diffuse_sw_surf	col,	sw_albedo_band	Downwelling diffuse surface shortwave flux in each albedo interval
canopy_flux_dn_direct_sw_surf	col,	sw_albedo_band	Downwelling direct surface shortwave flux in each albedo interval
canopy_flux_dn_lw_surf	col,	lw_emiss_band	Downwelling surface longwave flux in each emissivity interval
cloud_cover_sw	col		Total cloud cover diagnosed by shortwave solver
cloud_cover_lw	col		Total cloud cover diagnosed by longwave solver

### 2.3 Configuring the radiation scheme

The detailed settings of *ecRad* are configured using the radiation namelist in the namelist file provided as the first command-line argument to the ecrad executable. The available namelist parameters are listed in Table 2.3. One of the most important is directory\_name, which provides the absolute or relative path to the directory containing all the configuration files. This is the data directory at the top level of the *ecRad* package. Note that the default values listed in Table 2.3 may differ in some cases from the values used operationally in the IFS (see Table 2 of Hogan and Bozzo, 2018).

Table 2.3: Options for the radiation namelist that configures the radiation scheme. The type of each parameter can be inferred from its name: logicals begin with  $do_$  or use\_, integers start with i\_ or n\_, strings end with \_name, and all other parameters are real numbers.

Parameter	Default value, other values	Description
General		
directory_name		Directory containing NetCDF configuration files
do_sw	true	Compute shortwave fluxes?
do_lw	true	Compute longwave fluxes?
do_sw_direct	true	Do direct shortwave fluxes?
do_clear	true	Compute clear-sky fluxes?
do_cloud_aerosol_per_sw_g_point	true	Do we compute cloud, aerosol and surface shortwave optical properties per g point (not available for RRTMG)?
do_cloud_aerosol_per_lw_g_point	true	Do we compute cloud, aerosol and surface longwave optical properties per g point (not available for RRTMG)?
Gas optics		
gas_model_name	RRTMG-IFS, ECCKD,	Gas optics model
	Monochromatic	
gas_optics_sw_override_file_name	(See section 2.3.4)	Path to an alternative shortwave ecCKD gas optics file
<pre>gas_optics_lw_override_file_name</pre>	(See section 2.3.4)	Path to an alternative longwave ecCKD gas optics file
Aerosol optics		
use_aerosols	false	Do we represent aerosols?
use_general_aerosol_optics	true	Support aerosol properties at an arbitrary spectral discretization (not just RRTMG)
do_lw_aerosol_scattering	true	Do longwave aerosol scattering?
n_aerosol_types		Number of aerosol types

i_aerosol_type_map(:)	(see section 2.3.1)	Vector of integers that map from aerosol types to types in the NetCDF aerosol optics file, where positive integers index hydrophobic types, negative integers index hydrophilic types and zero indicates a type should be ignored
aerosol_optics_override_file_name	(see section 2.3.1)	Path to an alternative aerosol optics file
Monochromatic scheme	(see section 2.3.1)	Tatal to an alternative acrosor optics me
mono_lw_wavelength	-1.0	Wavelength of longwave radiation, or if negative, a broadband calculation will be performed
mono_lw_total_od	0.0	Zenith longwave optical depth of clear-sky atmosphere
mono_sw_total_od	0.0	Zenith shortwave optical depth of clear-sky atmosphere
mono_lw_single_scattering_albedo	0.538	Longwave cloud single scattering albedo
mono_sw_single_scattering_albedo	0.999999	Shortwave cloud single scattering albedo
-		
mono_lw_asymmetry_factor	0.925	Longwave cloud asymmetry factor
mono_sw_asymmetry_factor	0.86	Shortwave cloud asymmetry factor
Cloud optics		
liquid_model_name	SOCRATES, Slingo, Monochromatic	Liquid optics model, including the scheme in the SOCRATES radiation scheme and the older scheme of Slingo (1989)
ice_model_name	<b>Fu-IFS</b> , Baran2016, Yi, Monochromatic	Ice optics model, including the schemes of Fu (1996), Fu et al. (1998), Baran et al. (2016) and Yi et al. (2013)
use_general_cloud_optics	true	Support arbitrary hydrometeor types (not just liquid and ice) with properties at an arbitrary spectral discretization (not just RRTMG)
do_lw_cloud_scattering	true	Do longwave cloud scattering?
do_fu_lw_ice_optics_bug	false	Reproduce bug in McRad implementation of Fu ice
do_ru_rw_rce_optres_bug	laise	optics (Hogan et al., 2016)?
liq_optics_override_file_name		Path to alternative liquid optics file name
ice_optics_override_file_name		Path to alternative ice optics file name
cloud_type_name(:)	<pre>mie_droplet,   baum-general-habit-   mixture_ice</pre>	Optical property model name for each generalized hydrometeor species
<pre>use_thick_cloud_spectral_averaging(:)</pre>	true	Do we use 'thick' spectral averaging of Edwards and Slingo (1996) for each generalized hydrometeor species?
Solver		
sw_solver_name	Cloudless, Homogeneous, McICA, Tripleclouds, SPARTACUS	Shortwave solver; note that the homogeneous solver assumes cloud fills the gridbox horizontally (so ignores cloud fraction) while the cloudless solver ignores clouds completely
lw_solver_name	Cloudless, Homogeneous, McICA, Tripleclouds, SPARTACUS	Longwave solver
overlap_scheme_name	Max-Ran, Exp-Ran, Exp-Exp	Cloud overlap scheme; note that SPARTACUS and Tripleclouds only work with the Exp-Ran overlap scheme
use_beta_overlap	false	Use Shonk et al. (2010) ' $\beta$ ' overlap parameter definition, rather than default ' $\alpha$ '?
cloud_inhom_decorr_scaling	0.5	Ratio of overlap decorrelation lengths for cloud inhomogeneities and boundaries
cloud_fraction_threshold	$10^{-6}$	Ignore clouds with fraction below this
cloud_mixing_ratio_threshold	10 <sup>-9</sup>	Ignore clouds with total mixing ratio below this
<pre>cloud_pdf_shape_name cloud_pdf_override_file_name</pre>	Gamma, Lognormal	Shape of cloud water PDF  Name of NetCDF file of alternative cloud PDF
do_sw_delta_scaling_with_gases	false	look-up table Apply delta-Eddington scaling to particle-gas mixture, rather than particles only (see Hogan and Bozzo, 2018)
SPARTACUS solver (these parameters have no effect for other	er solvers)	
do_3d_effects	true	Represent cloud edge effects when SPARTACUS solver selected; note that this option does not affect entrapment, which is also a 3D effect

n_regions	2, 3	Number of regions used by SPARTACUS, where one is clear sky and one or two are cloud (the Tripleclouds solver always assumes three regions regardless of this parameter)
do_lw_side_emissivity	true	Represent effective emissivity of the side of clouds (Schäfer et al., 2016)
sw_entrapment_name	Zero, Edge-only, <b>Explicit</b> , Non-fractal, Maximum	Entrapment model (Hogan et al., 2019); note that the behaviour in ecRad version 1.0.1 was 'Maximum' entrapment
do_3d_lw_multilayer_effects	false	Maximum entrapment for longwave radiation?
max_3d_transfer_rate	10.0	Maximum rate of lateral exchange between regions in one layer, for stability of matrix exponential (where the default means that as little as $e^{-10}$ of the radiation could remain in a region)
max_gas_od_3d	8.0	3D effects ignored for spectral intervals where gas optical depth of a layer exceeds this, for stability
max_cloud_od	16.0	Maximum in-cloud optical depth, for stability
use_expm_everywhere	false	Use matrix-exponential method even when 3D effects not important, such as clear-sky layers and parts of the spectrum where the gas optical depth is large?
clear_to_thick_fraction	0.0	Fraction of cloud edge interfacing directly to the most optically thick cloudy region
overhead_sun_factor	0.0	Minimum tan-squared of solar zenith angle to allow some 'direct' radiation from overhead sun to pass through cloud sides (0.06 used by Hogan et al., 2016)
overhang_factor	0.0	A detail of the entrapment representation described by Hogan et al. (2019)
Surface do_nearest_spectral_sw_albedo	false	Surface shortwave albedos may be supplied in their
do_Hearest_spectrar_sw_armedo	laist	own spectral intervals: do we select the nearest to each band of the gas optics scheme, rather than using a weighted average?
do_nearest_spectral_lw_emiss	false	likewise but for surface longwave emissivity
<pre>sw_albedo_wavelength_bound(:)</pre>	(see section 2.3.2)	Vector of the wavelength bounds (m) delimiting the shortwave albedo intervals
<pre>lw_emiss_wavelength_bound(:)</pre>	(see section 2.3.2)	Vector of the wavelength bounds (m) delimiting the longwave emissivity intervals
i_sw_albedo_index(:)	(see section 2.3.2)	Vector of indices mapping albedos to wavelength intervals
i_lw_emiss_index(:)	(see section 2.3.2)	Vector of indices mapping emissivities to wavelength intervals
do_weighted_surface_mapping	true	Do we weight the mapping from surface emissivity/albedo to g-point/band weighting by a reference Planck function (more accurate) or a constant weight in wavenumber (less accurate)?
Diagnostics		
iverbosesetup	0, 1, 2, <b>3</b> , 4, 5	Verbosity in setup, where 1=warning, 2=info, 3=progress, 4=detailed, 5=debug
iverbose	0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	Verbosity in execution
do_save_spectral_flux	false false	Save flux profiles in each band? Save flux profiles in each g-point?
<pre>do_save_gpoint_flux do_surface_sw_spectral_flux</pre>	true	Save surface shortwave fluxes in each band for
do_lw_derivatives	false	subsequent diagnostics?  Compute derivatives for Hogan and Bozzo (2015)
40_1W_4611V461V65	10136	approximate updates?
do_save_radiative_properties	false	Write intermediate NetCDF file(s) of properties sent to solver (radiative_properties*.nc)?
do_canopy_fluxes_sw	false	Save surface shortwave fluxes in each albedo interval
do_canopy_fluxes_lw	false	Save surface longwave fluxes in each emissivity
		interval

Several aspects of Table 2.3 deserve further explanation, particularly those that are configured with vectors, and are covered in the following subsections.

#### 2.3.1 Configuring aerosol optical properties

As shown in Table 2.1, aerosols are provided to *ecRad* in the form of the mass mixing ratios of a number of different aerosol types. The optical properties of an arbitrary number of hydrophilic and hydrophobic aerosol types are provided in a NetCDF file; for example data/aerosol\_ifs\_rrtm\_49R1.nc in the *ecRad* package contains aerosol properties in the RRTMG bands, while data/aerosol\_ifs\_49R1.nc describes the properties at high spectral resolution and is used if use\_general\_aerosol\_optics is true. The mapping between the input aerosol concentrations and the aerosol types in the optical-property file may be specified in the radiation namelist. The n\_aerosol\_types parameter specifies the number of aerosol concentrations to be provided, with a value of zero having the effect of deactivating aerosols. i\_aerosol\_type\_map is a vector of integers of length n\_aerosol\_types indicating which aerosol type to select from the optical-property file. Negative numbers select hydrophobic types, whose optical properties vary with relative humidity, while postitive numbers select hydrophobic types. Zero indicates that an input aerosol type is to be ignored. As an example, the IFS settings (in the test/ifs directory) are specified with:

```
aerosol_optics_override_file_name = 'aerosol_ifs_rrtm_46R1_with_NI_AM.nc'
n_aerosol_types = 12
i_aerosol_type_map = -1, -2, -3, 1, 2, 3, -4, 10, 11, 11, -5, 14
```

When ecRad is run, the output printed to the terminal includes a description of the aerosol mapping.

#### 2.3.2 Configuring surface albedo and emissivity

A similar mechanism is used to describe how spectral intervals of the input sw\_albedo and lw\_emissivity should be interpreted. This is best explained by considering the configuration of the IFS in Cycle 47R1, which is described by the following namelist variables:

```
sw_albedo_wavelength_bound(1:5) = 0.25e-6, 0.44e-6, 0.69e-6, 1.19e-6, 2.38e-6
i_sw_albedo_index(1:6) = 1,2,3,4,5,6
do_nearest_spectral_sw_albedo = false
lw_emiss_wavelength_bound(1:2) = 8.0e-6, 13.0e-6
i_lw_emiss_index(1:3) = 1,2,1
do_nearest_spectral_lw_emiss = true
do_weighted_surface_mapping = false
```

The IFS describes surface albedo in six spectral intervals. The vector sw\_albedo\_wavelength\_bounds here provides the wavelengths, in metres, of the five boundaries between these intervals, where the first interval is taken to include all wavelengths shorter than the first value (in this case  $0.25 \mu m$ ) and the last includes all wavelengths longer than the last value (in this case 2.38 µm). The vector i sw albedo index specifies which of the elements of the input sw\_albedo field should be used in each of the six spectral intervals. Surface emissivity is described similarly: there are three spectral intervals specified by the two boundaries in lw emiss wavelength bound. The corresponding vector i\_lw\_emiss\_index contains two occurrences of the index 1, indicating that the first element of lw\_emissivity is used both for wavelengths smaller than 8 µm and wavelengths larger than 13 µm (i.e. outside the infrared atmospheric window). The second element is then used for wavelengths between these two boundaries. Thus even though there are three spectral intervals, only two elements are needed in lw\_emissivity. The logicals do nearest spectral sw albedo and do nearest spectral lw emiss specify whether the bands of the gas optics scheme used in ecRad will use a single value of albedo or emissivity from the input fields (chosen to be the spectral interval with the largest overlap in wavenumber space with each band of the gas-optics scheme), or whether they will weight the spectral intervals by their overlap with each band of the gasoptics scheme. Finally, do\_weighted\_surface\_mapping specifies whether to use a weighted mapping using the Planck function at a representative temperature of the sun or the earth in the shortwave and longwave, respectively; this is more accurate but this feature was not available before ecRad version 1.5 so should be false to reproduce earlier behaviour. The mapping from spectral interval to band is printed on standard output when ecRad is run, as shown in the example in section 2.6.

#### 2.3.3 Configuring cloud optical properties

All parameterizations for the optical properties of hydrometeors are expressed in terms of effective radius, which is assumed to be defined by:

$$r_e = \frac{3V}{4A},\tag{2.1}$$

where V is the total volume of liquid or solid ice per unit volume of air, while A is the total projected area of the particles per unit volume of air (units  $m^{-1}$ ). For liquid and ice clouds this reduces to the familiar

$$r_{e,\text{liq}} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{\text{LWC}}{\rho_{\text{liq}} A_{\text{liq}}}; \qquad r_{e,\text{ice}} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{\text{IWC}}{\rho_{\text{ice}} A_{\text{ice}}},$$
 (2.2)

where LWC and IWC are the liquid and ice water content, respectively (in kg m<sup>-3</sup>, equal to the mass mixing ratio multiplied by the air density), and  $\rho_{liq}$  and  $\rho_{ice}$  are the densities of liquid water and solid ice. Effective radius is assumed to be horizontally constant within a gridbox, even if the water content varies.

Prior to version 1.5, the  $r_e$  dependence of the various optical property models for liquid droplets and ice particles were all represented parametrically in the code, with the coefficients of the parameterizations provided specifically for the spectral intervals of the RRTMG gas optics model in data files such as socrates\_droplet\_scattering\_rrtm.nc and fu\_ice\_scattering\_rrtm.nc. The optical models were selected via the liquid\_model\_name and ice\_model\_name namelist parameters, and could be overridden with liq\_optics\_override\_file\_name and ice\_optics\_override\_file\_name.

These various parameterizations are still available to use with the RRTMG gas optics model from version 1.5 onwards, but in order to support alternative gas optics models with a different band structure, and potentially more hydrometeor species than just liquid and ice clouds, a more flexible approach is taken when the user specifies use\_general\_cloud\_optics=true. In this case the user should use the cloud\_type\_name namelist parameter to specify the cloud type and optical model of each hydrometeor species in the form of a string with the form <OPTICAL-MODEL>\_<HYDROMETEOR-TYPE>. The default setting is equivalent to the following namelist entries:

```
cloud_type_name(1) = 'mie_droplet'
cloud_type_name(2) = 'baum-general-habit-mixture_ice'
```

The number of hydrometeor types (up to a maximum of 12) is taken from the number of cloud\_type\_name entries provided. *ecRad* then appends \_scattering.nc to these strings and looks for files with these names in the data directory. These files contain look-up tables of the optical properties as a function of effective radius and wavenumber. At setup time, the optical properties are averaged to the spectral intervals used by the gas-optics scheme. In case above (and by default) only two cloud types are provided, so *ecRad* assumes the input fields q\_hydrometeor and re\_hydrometeor (see Table 2.1) contain two types, liquid and ice cloud. Data files are available in the data directory of the *ecRad* package to support the following optical models:

mie\_droplet Cloud droplets described by Mie theory with effective radius in the range 1–50  $\mu$ m;

mie\_rain Rain drops described by Mie theory with effective radius in the range  $25-3000 \,\mu\text{m}$ ;

**baum-general-habit-mixture\_ice** Ice particles using Baum's 'general habit mixture' for effective radius in the range  $5-60 \mu m$ ;

**fu-muskatel\_ice** Unroughened ice particles using Fu's model extended to the effective radius range  $3-370 \,\mu\text{m}$  by Harel Muskatel;

**fu-muskatel-rough\_ice** As above but for roughened ice particles.

Note that if the input effective radius is out of bounds, the nearest in-bound values are used.

Whether cloud optical properties will be computed per band (less accurate but required by RRTMG) or per g-point (more accurate) is governed by the do\_cloud\_aerosol\_per\_sw\_g\_point and do\_cloud\_aerosol\_per\_lw\_g\_point namelist options. By default, 'thick averaging' Edwards and Slingo (1996) is used when mapping the high spectral resolution optical properties to the bands or g-points of the gas-optics model. This was found by Hogan and Matricardi (2022) to give better cloud radiative effects over a wide range of cloud optical thicknesses for both ice and liquid clouds. To specify that you want the first hydrometeor type to use thick averaging and the second thin you would use:

```
use_thick_cloud_spectral_averaging(1) = true
use_thick_cloud_spectral_averaging(2) = false
```

#### 2.3.4 Configuring the gas-optics model

By default the RRTMG gas-optics model is used, which uses 16 and 14 bands in the longwave and shortwave, respectively, containing a total of 140 and 112 g-points (spectral intervals) in the longwave and shortwave, respectively. The number of g-points determines how many quasi-monochromatic calculations are performed to cover the full broadband spectrum, and therefore the computational cost. To use the ecCKD scheme of Hogan and Matricardi (2022) instead, use the following namelist option

```
gas_model_name = 'ECCKD'
```

which will load the shortwave and longwave gas-optics models from NetCDF files (playing an equivalent role to the 'spectral files' of Edwards and Slingo, 1996). The default files are:

- ecckd-1.0\_lw\_climate\_fsck-32b\_ckd-definition.nc
- ecckd-1.0\_sw\_climate\_rgb-32b\_ckd-definition.nc

The first was generated using ecCKD version 1.0 for the longwave spectrum, uses the full-spectrum correlated-*k* (FSCK) band structure with a total of 32 g-points, and used both ('b') the 'Evaluation-1' and 'Evaluation-2' line-by-line datasets of Hogan and Matricardi (2020) in training the model. The second is similar for the shortwave except using the RGB band structure which contains five bands corresponding to the red, green and blue parts of the spectrum, plus bands for the UV and near infrared. Two other files are available in the ecRad data directory which can be activated with

```
gas_optics_lw_override_file_name = 'ecckd-1.2_lw_climate_narrow-64b_ckd_definition.nc'
gas_optics_sw_override_file_name = 'ecckd-1.2_sw_climate_window-64b_ckd_definition.nc'
```

These models each use a total of 64 g-points; the first uses the 13-band 'narrow' band structure of Hogan and Matricardi (2020) and the second uses a band structure containing separate bands for each of the windows in the near infrared (Hogan and Matricardi, 2022). These two models are more accurate but slower, so more suited for reference calculations. More models are available from the ecCKD web site https://confluence.ecmwf.int/x/XwUODw.

## 2.4 Configuring the offline package

In addition to the namelist parameters described in section 2.3 an additional set of parameters are available in the radiation\_config namelist that are specific to the offline version of *ecRad* and are listed in Table 2.4. In general if these parameters are present in the namelist then they will override the corresponding variable provided in the input file.

Table 2.4: Options for the radiation\_config namelist that configures additional aspects of the offline radiation scheme. All entries must be scalars. If an override parameter is present then it need not be included in the input file. The cloud effective sizes (used by the SPARTACUS solver) may be specified for low, middle and high clouds according to the cloud layer pressure p and the surface pressure  $p_0$ .

Parameter	Description
Execution control	
nrepeat	Number of times to repeat, for benchmarking
istartcol	Start at specified input column (1 based)
iendcol	End at specified input column (1 based)
iverbose	Verbosity in offline setup (default 2)
do_parallel	Use OpenMP parallelism? (default true)
nblocksize	Number of columns per block when using OpenMP
do_save_inputs	Sanity check: save input variables in inputs.nc

3 1 1	
do_save_aerosol_optics	Output computed aerosol optical property look-up table in aerosol_optics.nc
do_correct_unphysical_inputs	If input variables out of physical bounds, correct them and issue a warning
vmr_suffix_str	Suffix for variables containing volume mixing ratios (default '_vmr')
Override input variables	
solar_irradiance_override	Override solar irradiance (W $m^{-2}$ )
skin_temperature	Override skin temperature (K)
cos_solar_zenith_angle	Override cosine of solar zenith angle
sw_albedo	Override shortwave albedo
lw_emissivity	Override longwave emissivity
fractional_std	Override cloud optical depth fractional standard deviation
overlap_decorr_length	Override cloud overlap decorrelation length (m)
inv_effective_size	Override inverse of cloud effective size $(m^{-1})$
low_inv_effective_size	for low clouds ( $p > 0.8p_0$ , where $p$ is pressure and $p_0$ surface pressure)
middle_inv_effective_size	for mid-level clouds $(0.45p_0$
high_inv_effective_size	for high clouds ( $p \le 0.45p_0$ )
Scale input variables	
q_liquid_scaling	Scaling for liquid water mixing ratio
q_ice_scaling	Scaling for ice water mixing ratio
cloud_fraction_scaling	Scaling for cloud fraction (capped at 1)
overlap_decorr_length_scaling	Scaling for cloud overlap decorrelation length
effective_size_scaling	Scaling for cloud effective size
h2o_scaling, co2_scaling	Scaling for specific humidity and carbon dioxide; equivalents available for
	o3, co, ch4, n2o, o2, cfc11, cfc12, hcfc22 and cc14
Parameterize input variables	
cloud_inhom_separation_factor	Set inhomogeneity separation scale to be this multiplied by cloud separation scale
cloud_separation_scale_surface	Surface cloud separation scale in pressure-dependent parameterization
cloud_separation_scale_toa	Top-of-atmosphere cloud separation scale in pressure-dependent parameterization
cloud_separation_scale_power	Power in cloud separation scale parameterization

### 2.5 Describing cloud structure

Probably more than any other 1D radiation scheme, *ecRad* allows the user to define in detail the statistical properties of the sub-grid cloud distribution, and in this section the relevant variables and namelist parameters are explained in more detail. In an operational context most of these variables need to be parameterized, but in developing new solvers we need to perform explicit radiation calculations on realistic high resolution 3D cloud fields, and compare them to *ecRad* simulations in which the profiles of these variables have been extracted from the 3D cloud fields. This has been done by Schäfer et al. (2016), Hogan et al. (2016) and Hogan et al. (2019). Explicit radiation calculations on a 3D cloud field can either be performed using the Independent Column Approximation (ICA) and compared to *ecRad*'s McICA or Tripleclouds solvers, or using a fully 3D solver (e.g. Monte Carlo) and comparing it to *ecRad*'s SPARTACUS solver. Note that *ecRad* can itself perform ICA calculations on 3D cloud fields, by flattening the two horizontal dimensions of a 3D dataset into a single 'column' dimension, and using the *ecRad*'s 'Homogeneous' solver in which any cloud is assumed to homogeneously fill each of the narrow columns (so cloud fraction is not used as it is implicitly taken to be 0 or 1).

The input variables describing the profile of cloud properties are given in the lower half of Table 2.1. The most basic are the liquid and ice mass mixing ratios (q\_liquid and q\_ice), which are gridbox-mean quantities, and the corresponding effective radii (re\_liquid and re\_ice) defined in section 2.3.3. These may alternatively be expressed by q\_hydrometeor and re\_hydrometeor if more than two hydrometeor types are to be represented.

Cloud fraction is simply the fractional horizontal area of a given model layer that contains cloud. The layers are assumed to be thin enough that cloud fraction is constant with height within a layer, i.e. cloud fraction by volume is equal to cloud fraction by area. The horizontal variability of cloud water content in a layer is specified by the fractional standard deviation (fractional\_std), defined as the standard deviation of the in-cloud water content, divided by the in-cloud mean water content. The in-cloud mean water content is the gridbox-mean water content divided by cloud fraction. Note that since effective radius is assumed constant across a gridbox, cloud optical depth is proportional to water path and so fractional\_std can also be thought of as the horizontal fractional standard deviation of cloud optical depth. Moreover, ecRad assumes that horizontal variations of liquid and ice water

content are perfectly correlated. As shown in Table 2.4, fractional standard deviation can be overriden through a namelist parameter; for example, in the IFS this value is set to 1.

Cloud overlap is needed by the Exp-Ran and Exp-Exp overlap schemes, and is specified at the interface (or half-level) between each layer by overlap\_param, the overlap parameter as defined by Hogan and Illingworth (2000). To compute this at half-level i + 1/2 of a high-resolution 3D cloud field, you need the cloud fractions in the upper and lower lower layers,  $c_i$  and  $c_{i+1}$ , and the combined cloud cover of the cloud in these two layers, C. Then from Eqs. 1, 2 and 4 of Hogan and Illingworth (2000) you can compute the overlap parameter:

$$\alpha_{i+1/2} = \frac{C_{\text{rand}} - C}{C_{\text{max}} - C},\tag{2.3}$$

where the combined cloud covers that would be obtained from the random and maximum overlap assumptions are

$$C_{\text{rand}} = c_i + c_{i+1} - c_i c_{i+1}; (2.4)$$

$$C_{\max} = \max(c_i, c_{i+1}). \tag{2.5}$$

Alternatively, cloud overlap can be parameterized as in most atmospheric models in terms of an overlap decorrelation length as shown in Table 2.4, which implements Eq. 5 of Hogan and Illingworth (2000). In addition to describing how cloud boundaries overlap, *ecRad* needs to know how sub-grid cloud inhomogeneities are vertically correlated. This cannot be specified at each layer, but is rather specified via the namelist variable cloud\_inhom\_decorr\_scaling in Table 2.3, which gives the ratio of the decorrelation lengths for cloud inhomogeneities and cloud boundaries. The default value of 0.5 was obtained from observations of ice clouds by Hogan and Illingworth (2003).

The variables and parameters above are all used by the McICA and Tripleclouds solvers to represent cloud properties relevant for 1D radiative transfer. In order to use the SPARTACUS solver to represent 3D radiative effects, we also need a means to specify the *normalized cloud perimeter length*, L, in each model layer. If we imagine a horizontal slice through the sub-grid cloud field, then L is the total cloud perimeter length divided by the area of the domain, with units of inverse metres. This variable is not provided to SPARTACUS directly, since it tends to be strongly dependent on the cloud fraction. Rather we specify either the *cloud effective size*,  $C_S$ , or the *cloud effective separation*,  $C_X$ , which tend to be less dependent on cloud fraction. Normalized perimeter length is related to the former via Eq. 29 of Hogan et al. (2019):

$$L = 4c(1-c)/C_S, (2.6)$$

and to the latter via (Fielding et al., 2020)

$$L = 4 \left[ c(1-c) \right]^{1/2} / C_X, \tag{2.7}$$

where c is the cloud fraction. The variables  $1/C_S$  and  $1/C_X$  may be specified directly in the input file as inv\_cloud\_effective\_size and inv\_cloud\_effective\_separation, respectively. If both are present then the former will take precedence. The reason that reciprocals are provided is that then a value of zero (corresponding to  $C_S$  or  $C_X$  of infinity) indicates no 3D effects are to be simulated in a particular layer. If you have a high resolution cloud scene and you wish to wish to run SPARTACUS on it then you need to compute the perimeter length from it (e.g. use a contouring function on a field containing 0 for clear sky and 1 for cloud, and then compute the length of the 0.5 contour), and knowing also cloud fraction you can invert (2.6) or (2.7).

In the context of an atmospheric model, we recommend that  $C_X$  is parameterized using the namelist parameters at the bottom of Table 2.4 scheme with the values of Fielding et al. (2020):

```
cloud_separation_scale_toa = 14000.0, ! Value of C_X at top-of-atmosphere (m)
cloud_separation_scale_surface = 2500.0, ! Value of C_X at surface (m)
cloud_separation_scale_power = 3.5, ! Describes pressure dependence of C_X
cloud_inhom_separation_factor = 0.75 ! Defines size of cloud inhomogeneities
```

These numbers are used in the namelist in the test/ifs case. Note that the first number shown here,  $C_X^{\text{TOA}}$ , is valid for a model with a horizontal grid spacing of around 100 km, but this parameter was found by Fielding et al. (2020) to be dependent on horizontal grid spacing  $\Delta x$  in a way that can be fitted with

$$C_X^{\text{TOA}} = 1.62 \,\Delta x^{0.47},$$
 (2.8)

where both  $C_X^{\text{TOA}}$  and  $\Delta x$  are in km. The surface value of  $C_X$  can be assumed to be 2.5 km for all model resolutions.

### 2.6 Checking the configuration

When ecrad is run, it outputs to the screen a summary of the configuration options, the files read and written and details of the aerosol mapping. This can be used to check that *ecRad* has been configured as intended. The following is an example from typing

```
make test_default
```

in the test/ifs directory, in the case of iverbosesetup=2 and iverbose=1 in the radiation namelist:

```
------ OFFLINE ECRAD RADIATION SCHEME -------
Copyright (C) 2014-2020 European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts
Contact: Robin Hogan (r.j.hogan@ecmwf.int)
Floating-point precision: double
General settings:
 Data files expected in "../../data"
 Clear-sky calculations are ON
                                                             (do_clear=T)
  Saving intermediate radiative properties OFF
                                                             (do_save_radiative_properties=F)
  Saving spectral flux profiles ON
                                                             (do_save_spectral_flux=T)
 Gas model is "RRTMG-IFS"
                                                             (i_gas_model=1)
 Aerosols are ON
                                                              (use_aerosols=T)
                                                              (do_clouds=T)
 Clouds are ON
Surface settings:
 Saving surface shortwave spectral fluxes OFF
                                                              (do_surface_sw_spectral_flux=F)
 Saving surface shortwave fluxes in abledo bands ON
                                                              (do_canopy_fluxes_sw=T)
 Saving surface longwave fluxes in emissivity bands ON
                                                              (do canopy fluxes lw=T)
 Longwave derivative calculation is ON
                                                             (do_lw_derivatives=T)
 Nearest-neighbour spectral albedo mapping OFF
                                                             (do nearest spectral sw albedo=F)
 Nearest-neighbour spectral emissivity mapping ON
                                                             (do_nearest_spectral_lw_emiss=T)
Cloud settings:
  Cloud fraction threshold = .100E-05
                                                             (cloud_fraction_threshold)
 Cloud mixing-ratio threshold = .100E-08
                                                             (cloud_mixing_ratio_threshold)
 Liquid optics scheme is "SOCRATES"
                                                             (i_liq_model=2)
 Ice optics scheme is "Fu-IFS"
                                                             (i_ice_model=2)
 Longwave ice optics bug in Fu scheme is OFF
                                                             (do_fu_lw_ice_optics_bug=F)
 Cloud overlap scheme is "Exp-Exp"
                                                             (i_overlap_scheme=2)
 Use "beta" overlap parameter is OFF
                                                             (use_beta_overlap=F)
 Cloud PDF shape is "Gamma"
                                                             (i_cloud_pdf_shape=1)
 Cloud inhom decorrelation scaling = .500
                                                             (cloud_inhom_decorr_scaling)
Solver settings:
 Shortwave solver is "McICA"
                                                             (i_solver_sw=2)
 Shortwave delta scaling after merge with gases OFF
                                                             (do_sw_delta_scaling_with_gases=F)
 Longwave solver is "McICA"
                                                             (i_solver_lw=2)
 Longwave cloud scattering is ON
                                                             (do_lw_cloud_scattering=T)
 Longwave aerosol scattering is OFF
                                                             (do lw aerosol scattering=F)
Warning: turning on do_surface_sw_spectral_flux as required by do_canopy_fluxes_sw
Reading ../../data/RADRRTM
Reading ../../data/RADSRTM
Weighting of 6 albedo values in 14 shortwave bands (wavenumber ranges in cm-1):
  2600 to 3250: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00
  3250 to 4000: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00
  4000 to 4650: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.69 0.31
  4650 to 5150: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00
  5150 to 6150: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00
  6150 to 7700: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00
 7700 to 8050: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00
 8050 to 12850: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.93 0.07 0.00
12850 to 16000: 0.00 0.00 0.48 0.52 0.00 0.00
16000 to 22650: 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
22650 to 29000: 0.00 0.99 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00
29000 to 38000: 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
38000 to 50000: 0.83 0.17 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
   820 to 2600: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00
Mapping from 16 longwave bands to emissivity intervals: 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Reading NetCDF file ../../data/socrates_droplet_scattering_rrtm.nc
```

```
Reading NetCDF file ../../data/fu_ice_scattering_rrtm.nc
Reading NetCDF file ../../data/aerosol_ifs_rrtm_46R1_with_NI_AM.nc
Aerosol mapping:
  1 -> hydrophilic type 1: Sea salt, bin 1, 0.03-0.5 micron, OPAC
  2 -> hydrophilic type 2: Sea salt, bin 2, 0.50-5.0 micron, OPAC
  3 -> hydrophilic type 3: Sea salt, bin 3, 5.0-20.0 micron, OPAC
  4 -> hydrophobic type 1: Desert dust, bin 1, 0.03-0.55 micron, (SW) Dubovik et al. 2002...
  5 -> hydrophobic type 2: Desert dust, bin 2, 0.55-0.90 micron, (SW) Dubovik et al. 2002...
  6 -> hydrophobic type 3: Desert dust, bin 3, 0.90-20.0 micron, (SW) Dubovik et al. 2002...
  7 \rightarrow \text{hydrophilic type 4: Hydrophilic organic matter, OPAC}
  8 -> hydrophobic type 10: Hydrophobic organic matter, OPAC (hydrophilic at RH=20%)
  9 -> hydrophobic type 11: Black carbon, OPAC
  10 -> hydrophobic type 11: Black carbon, OPAC
  11 -> hydrophilic type 5: Ammonium sulfate (for sulfate), GACP Lacis et al https://gacp...
  12 -> hydrophobic type 14: Stratospheric sulfate (hydrophilic ammonium sulfate at RH 20%-30%)
Reading NetCDF file ../../data/mcica_gamma.nc
Reading NetCDF file ecrad_meridian.nc
 Warning: variable co_vmr not found
 Warning: variable no2_vmr not found
Writing NetCDF file inputs.nc
Performing radiative transfer calculations
Writing NetCDF file ecrad_meridian_default_out.nc
                                                 ______
```

#### Running

make test\_ecckd\_tc

#### uses the ecCKD gas optics model and the Tripleclouds solver, producing the following:

```
------ OFFLINE ECRAD RADIATION SCHEME ------
Copyright (C) 2014- ECMWF
Contact: Robin Hogan (r.j.hogan@ecmwf.int)
Floating-point precision: double
General settings:
 Data files expected in "../../data"
 Clear-sky calculations are ON
                                                              (do_clear=T)
 Saving intermediate radiative properties OFF
                                                              (do_save_radiative_properties=F)
 Saving spectral flux profiles ON
                                                              (do_save_spectral_flux=T)
 Gas model is "ECCKD"
                                                              (i_gas_model=2)
                                                              (use_aerosols=T)
 Aerosols are ON
 General aerosol optics ON
                                                              (use_general_aerosol_optics=T)
 Clouds are ON
 Do cloud/aerosol/surface SW properties per q-point ON
                                                              (do_cloud_aerosol_per_sw_q_point=T)
 Do cloud/aerosol/surface LW properties per g-point ON
                                                              (do_cloud_aerosol_per_lw_g_point=T)
Surface settings:
 Saving surface shortwave spectral fluxes OFF
                                                              (do_surface_sw_spectral_flux=F)
 Saving surface shortwave fluxes in abledo bands ON
                                                              (do_canopy_fluxes_sw=T)
 Saving surface longwave fluxes in emissivity bands ON
                                                              (do_canopy_fluxes_lw=T)
 Longwave derivative calculation is ON
                                                              (do_lw_derivatives=T)
 Nearest-neighbour spectral albedo mapping OFF
                                                              (do_nearest_spectral_sw_albedo=F)
 Nearest-neighbour spectral emissivity mapping OFF
                                                              (do_nearest_spectral_lw_emiss=F)
 Planck-weighted surface albedo/emiss mapping ON
                                                              (do_weighted_surface_mapping=T)
Cloud settings:
  Cloud fraction threshold = .100E-05
                                                             (cloud_fraction_threshold)
 Cloud mixing-ratio threshold = .100E-08
                                                             (cloud_mixing_ratio_threshold)
 General cloud optics ON
                                                             (use_general_cloud_optics=T)
 Cloud overlap scheme is "Exp-Ran"
                                                             (i_overlap_scheme=1)
 Use "beta" overlap parameter is OFF
                                                             (use_beta_overlap=F)
 Cloud PDF shape is "Gamma"
                                                             (i_cloud_pdf_shape=1)
  Cloud inhom decorrelation scaling = .500
                                                             (cloud_inhom_decorr_scaling)
Solver settings:
  Shortwave solver is "Tripleclouds"
                                                             (i solver sw=4)
  Shortwave delta scaling after merge with gases OFF
                                                             (do_sw_delta_scaling_with_gases=F)
 Longwave solver is "Tripleclouds"
                                                             (i_solver_lw=4)
 Longwave cloud scattering is ON
                                                             (do_lw_cloud_scattering=T)
```

```
Longwave aerosol scattering is OFF
                                                              (do_lw_aerosol_scattering=F)
Warning: turning on do_surface_sw_spectral_flux as required by do_canopy_fluxes_sw
Reading NetCDF file ../../data/ecckd-1.0_sw_climate_rgb-32b_ckd-definition.nc
ecCKD shortwave gas optics model: 250-50000 cm-1, 32 g-points in 5 bands
  Look-up table sizes: 53 pressures, 6 temperatures, 0 planck-function entries
  Gases:
    Composite of well-mixed background gases: no concentration dependence
   H2O: look-up table with 12 log-spaced mole fractions in range 0.160779E-06 to 0.160779E+00
   03: linear concentration dependence
   CO2: linear concentration dependence
   CH4: linear concentration dependence relative to a mole fraction of
                                                                          0.192100E-05
   N2O: linear concentration dependence relative to a mole fraction of
                                                                          0.332000E-06
Reading NetCDF file ../../data/ecckd-1.0_lw_climate_fsck-32b_ckd-definition.nc
ecCKD longwave gas optics model: 0-3260 \text{ cm}-1, 32 \text{ g-points} in 1 bands
  Look-up table sizes: 53 pressures, 6 temperatures, 231 planck-function entries
 Gases:
   Composite of well-mixed background gases: no concentration dependence
   H2O: look-up table with 12 log-spaced mole fractions in range
                                                                   0.160779E-06 to 0.160779E+00
   03: linear concentration dependence
   CO2: linear concentration dependence
   CH4: linear concentration dependence relative to a mole fraction of
   N2O: linear concentration dependence relative to a mole fraction of
   CFC11: linear concentration dependence
   CFC12: linear concentration dependence
Surface shortwave albedo
 Mapping from 6 values to 32 g-points
  1: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.72 0.28
  2: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.24 0.76
  3: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00
  4: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00
  5: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.14 0.86 0.00
  6: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.41 0.59 0.00
  7: 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00
  8: 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00
 9: 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00
10: 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00
11: 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00
12: 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00
13: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.29 0.56 0.15
14: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.21 0.64 0.15
15: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.80 0.20
16: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.14 0.59 0.27
17: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00
18: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.08 0.48 0.44
19: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.91 0.09 0.00
20: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.45 0.53
21: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.13 0.87
22: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.08 0.92
23: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.32 0.68
24: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.14 0.86
25: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00
26: 0.00 0.00 0.91 0.09 0.00 0.00
27: 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
28: 0.00 0.38 0.62 0.00 0.00 0.00
29: 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
30: 0.11 0.89 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
31: 0.23 0.77 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
32: 0.30 0.70 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
Surface longwave emissivity
 Mapping from 2 values to 32 g-points
 1: 0.03 0.97
 2: 0.01 0.99
  3: 0.11 0.89
  4: 0.38 0.62
  5: 0.90 0.10
```

```
6: 0.97 0.03
  7: 0.99 0.01
  8: 0.85 0.15
  9: 1.00 0.00
10: 1.00 0.00
11: 0.99 0.01
12: 1.00 0.00
13: 0.98 0.02
14: 1.00 0.00
15: 1.00 0.00
16: 1.00 0.00
17: 0.93 0.07
18: 1.00 0.00
19: 1.00 0.00
20: 0.29 0.71
21: 1.00 0.00
22: 0.23 0.77
23: 1.00 0.00
24: 1.00 0.00
25: 0.02 0.98
26: 1.00 0.00
27: 1.00 0.00
28: 1.00 0.00
29: 1.00 0.00
30: 1.00 0.00
31: 1.00 0.00
32: 1.00 0.00
Shortwave cloud type 1:
Reading NetCDF file ../../data/mie_droplet_scattering.nc
 File: ../../data/mie\_droplet\_scattering.nc
 Weighting temperature: 5777.0 K
 SSA averaging: optically thick limit
 Spectral discretization: 32 g-points
 Effective radius look-up: 50 points in range
                                                  1.0- 50.0 um
 Wavenumber range: 250-49950 cm-1
Longwave cloud type 1:
Reading NetCDF file ../../data/mie_droplet_scattering.nc
  File: ../../data/mie_droplet_scattering.nc
 Weighting temperature: 273.1 K
 SSA averaging: optically thick limit
 Spectral discretization: 32 g-points
 Effective radius look-up: 50 points in range
                                                  1.0- 50.0 um
 Wavenumber range: 0-3250 cm-1
Shortwave cloud type 2:
Reading NetCDF file ../../data/baum-general-habit-mixture_ice_scattering.nc
 File: ../../data/baum-general-habit-mixture_ice_scattering.nc
 Weighting temperature: 5777.0 K
 SSA averaging: optically thick limit
 Spectral discretization: 32 g-points
 Effective radius look-up: 23 points in range
                                                  5.0- 60.0 um
 Wavenumber range: 250-49950 cm-1
Longwave cloud type 2:
Reading NetCDF file ../../data/baum-general-habit-mixture_ice_scattering.nc
 File: ../../data/baum-general-habit-mixture_ice_scattering.nc
 Weighting temperature: 273.1 K
 SSA averaging: optically thick limit
 Spectral discretization: 32 g-points
 Effective radius look-up: 23 points in range
                                                  5.0- 60.0 um
 Wavenumber range: 0-3250 cm-1
Reading NetCDF file ../../data/aerosol_ifs_48R1.nc
Aerosol mapping:
  1 -> hydrophilic type 1: Sea salt, bin 1, 0.03-0.5 micron, OPAC
  2 -> hydrophilic type 2: Sea salt, bin 2, 0.50-5.0 micron, OPAC
   3 \rightarrow \text{hydrophilic type 3: Sea salt, bin 3, 5.0-20.0 micron, OPAC}
   4 -> hydrophobic type 7: Desert dust, bin 1, 0.03-0.55 micron, Woodward 2001, Table 2
```

```
5 -> hydrophobic type 8: Desert dust, bin 2, 0.55-0.90 micron, Woodward 2001, Table 2
6 -> hydrophobic type 9: Desert dust, bin 3, 0.90-20.0 micron, Woodward 2001, Table 2
7 -> hydrophilic type 4: Hydrophilic organic matter, OPAC
8 -> hydrophobic type 10: Hydrophobic organic matter, OPAC (hydrophilic at RH=20%)
9 -> hydrophobic type 11: Black carbon, OPAC
10 -> hydrophobic type 11: Black carbon, OPAC
11 -> hydrophobic type 5: Ammonium sulfate (for sulfate), GACP Lacis et al https://gacp.giss.nasa.gov/data_sets
12 -> hydrophobic type 14: Stratospheric sulfate (hydrophilic ammonium sulfate at RH 20%-30%)
Reading NetCDF file ecrad_meridian.nc
Warning: variable co_vmr not found
Warning: variable no2_vmr not found
Performing radiative transfer calculations
Time elapsed in radiative transfer: 0.42291E-01 seconds
Writing NetCDF file ecrad_meridian_ecckd_tc_out.nc
```

# Chapter 3

# Incorporating ecRad into another program

ecRad can be called within a larger program, and indeed it has been incorporated into several atmospheric models (the IFS, Meso-NH and ICON). Pending a full description here of how to do this, see the ifs/radiation\_setup.F90 in the ecRad package to see how it is configured in the IFS, and ifs/radiation\_scheme.F90 for how it is run.

When calling *ecRad* from within a model, the parameters listed in Table 2.3 are members of the <code>config\_type</code> structure, and may be modified within the code at the appropriate place in the configuration stage. The exception is in the case of strings, which are prefixed by \_name in the namelist. In the <code>config\_type</code> structure there are equivalent integers to express these parameters, which can be changed using the named constants listed in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1: Integers in the config\_type structure that represents the strings in Table 2.3, where a namelist parameter named  $\star$ \_name would be named  $i_*$  here.

Variable in config_type	Available named constants, <b>default</b>
i_overlap_scheme	IOverlapMaximumRandom, IOverlapExponentialRandom, IOverlapExponential
i_solver_sw, i_solver_lw	ISolverCloudless, ISolverHomogeneous, ISolverMcICA, ISolverSpartacus, ISolverTripleclouds
i_3d_sw_entrapment	<pre>IEntrapmentZero, IEntrapmentEdgeOnly, IEntrapmentExplicit, IEntrapmentExplicitNonFractal, IEntrapmentMaximum</pre>
i_gas_model	IGasModelMonochromatic, IGasModelIFSRRTMG, IGasModelECCKD
i_liq_model	ILiquidModelMonochromatic, <b>ILiquidModelSOCRATES</b> , ILiquidModelSlingo
i_ice_model	<pre>IIceModelMonochromatic, IIceModelFu, IIceModelBaran2016,     IIceModelYi</pre>
i_cloud_pdf_shape	IPdfShapeGamma, IPdfShapeLognormal

# **Bibliography**

- Baran, A. J., P. Hill, D. Walters, S. C. Hardiman, K. Furtado, P. R. Field and J. Manners, 2016: The impact of two coupled cirrus microphysics—radiation parameterizations on the temperature and specific humidity biases in the tropical tropopause layer in a climate model. *J. Climate*, **29**, 5299–5316.
- Edwards, J. M., and Slingo, A., 1996: Studies with a flexible new radiation code: 1. Choosing a configuration for a large-scale model. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, **122**, 689–719.
- Fielding, M. D., S. A. K. Schäfer, R. J. Hogan and R. M. Forbes, 2020: Encapsulating cloud geometry for 3D radiative transfer and cloud turbulent mixing parameterizations. *To be submitted to Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*
- Fu, Q., 1996: An accurate parameterization of the solar radiative properties of cirrus clouds. *J. Climate*, **9**, 2058–2082.
- Fu, Q., P. Yang and W. B. Sun, 1998: An accurate parametrization of the infrared radiative properties of cirrus clouds of climate models. *J. Climate*, **11**, 2223-2237.
- Hogan, R. J., and A. J. Illingworth, 2000: Deriving cloud overlap statistics from radar. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, **126**, 2903–2909.
- Hogan, R. J., and A. J. Illingworth, 2003: Parameterizing ice cloud inhomogeneity and the overlap of inhomogeneities using cloud radar data. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **60**, 756–767.
- Hogan, R. J., and A. Bozzo, 2015: Mitigating errors in surface temperature forecasts using approximate radiation updates. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.*, **7**, 836–853.
- Hogan, R. J., and A. Bozzo, 2016: ECRAD: a new radiation scheme for the IFS. *ECMWF Technical Memorandum* 787, available at http://www.ecmwf.int/en/elibrary/16901-ecrad-new-radiation-scheme-ifs
- Hogan, R. J., and A. Bozzo, 2018: A flexible radiation scheme for the ECMWF model. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.*, **10**, doi:10.1029/2018MS001364.
- Hogan, R. J., S. A. K. Schäfer, C. Klinger, J.-C. Chiu and B. Mayer, 2016: Representing 3D cloud-radiation effects in two-stream schemes: 2. Matrix formulation and broadband evaluation. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **121**, 8583–8599.
- Hogan, R. J., M. D. Fielding, H. W. Barker, N. Villefranque and S. A. K. Schäfer, 2019: Entrapment: An important mechanism to explain the shortwave 3D radiative effect of clouds. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **76**, 2123–2141.
- Hogan, R. J., and M. Matricardi, 2020: Evaluating and improving the treatment of gases in radiation schemes: the Correlated K-Distribution Model Intercomparison Project (CKDMIP). *Geosci. Model Dev.*, **13**, 6501–6521.
- Hogan, R. J., and M. Matricardi, 2022: A tool for generating fast *k*-distribution gas-optics models for weather and climate applications. Submitted to *J. Adv. Modeling Earth Sys.*
- Iacono, M. J., J. S. Delamere, E. J. Mlawer, M. W. Shephard, S. A. Clough and W. D. Collins, 2008: Radiative forcing by longlived greenhouse gases: Calculations with the AER radiative transfer models. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D13103, doi: 10.1029/2008JD009944.

References 22

Pincus, R., H. W. Barker, and J.-J. Morcrette, 2003: A fast, flexible, approximate technique for computing radiative transfer in inhomogeneous clouds. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, **108**, 4376, doi:10.1029/2002JD003322.

- Schäfer, S. A. K., R. J. Hogan, C. Klinger, J.-C. Chiu and B. Mayer, 2016: Representing 3D cloud-radiation effects in two-stream schemes: 1. Longwave considerations and effective cloud edge length. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **121**, 8567–8582.
- Shonk, J. K. P., and R. J. Hogan, 2008: Tripleclouds: an efficient method for representing horizontal cloud inhomogeneity in 1D radiation schemes by using three regions at each height. *J. Climate*, **21**, 2352–2370.
- Shonk, J. K. P., R. J. Hogan, J. M. Edwards and G. G. Mace, 2010: Effect of improving representation of horizontal and vertical cloud structure on the Earth's radiation budget: 1. Review and parameterisation. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, **136**, 1191–1204.
- Slingo, A., 1989: A GCM parametrization for the shortwave radiative properties of water clouds. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **46**, 1419–1427.
- Yi, B., P. Yang, B. A. Baum, T. L'Ecuyer, L. Oreopoulos, E. J. Mlawer, A. J. Heymsfield and K.-K. Liou, 2013: Influence of ice particle surface roughening on the global cloud radiative effect. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **70**, 2794–2807.